



# Total Portfolio Impact in Investment Management

JONATHAN BAILEY AND JENNIFER SIGNORI  
ESG & IMPACT INVESTING

# INTRODUCING TOTAL PORTFOLIO IMPACT

The investment management industry is experiencing a fundamental change as two long-running trends converge: first, a belief that material environmental, social, and governance (ESG) characteristics can be a driver of better long-term investment performance, and second, a demand from clients to understand the social and environmental impact of their portfolio alongside investment performance. While much of the debate over the last decade has focused on whether and how specific investments can integrate ESG or achieve impact, we believe that the conversation has begun to fundamentally change. Many clients now have an expectation that any robust investment process will integrate material ESG characteristics regardless of asset class, and are increasingly seeking to define, measure, and enhance total portfolio impact.

This shift reflects an acknowledgment that every investment has impacts, both positive and negative, whether intended or not. The question for investors is whether they choose to understand and incorporate impact into investment decision-making. An investment can be deemed to have an overall negative impact on society or the environment, a neutral effect, or even an overwhelmingly positive influence by contributing to solutions to social and environmental challenges—there are a range of outcomes.

**Just as investors have portfolios with investments across various asset classes with different risk/return expectations, which collectively contribute to their total portfolio performance, investors can have portfolios with investments across the impact spectrum, which collectively contribute to their total portfolio impact. Thereby, impact is an additional dimension to investing and portfolio construction.**

The need for investments with positive impact has never been greater; there is a growing urgency to address the challenges facing the world and an acknowledgment that the “same old approach” is not enough.

## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS





IN 2015, 193 COUNTRIES ADOPTED A SET OF GOALS TO END POVERTY, PROTECT THE PLANET, AND ENSURE PROSPERITY FOR ALL BY 2030. TO ACHIEVE THESE GOALS, THE UNITED NATIONS SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS HIGHLIGHT<sup>1</sup>:

|                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| \$5-7tr.         | is needed to eliminate extreme poverty  |
| \$2.5tr.         | funding gap that investors and corporations are mobilizing to help bridge                             |
| 15 out of the 17 | goals are investable, enabling private capital to play a role while still achieving financial returns |

The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) provide a common set of social and environmental outcomes that governments, non-profits, companies, and investors can work together to achieve. The outcomes for people and the planet can be translated into investable solutions, such as improving sustainable growth and employment, improving health outcomes, promoting gender equality, addressing climate change and energy needs, and conserving the natural environment. This common framework across asset classes, combined with other impact dimensions—such as depth, scale, and who is being affected and their level of need—is helpful to align and aggregate impact objectives across a portfolio.

<sup>1</sup> United Nations Development Programme. *Impact Investments to Close the SDG Funding Gap*, July 13, 2017.

The convergence of several macro trends is driving increasing interest in investing with positive impact. Consumers increasingly care about how products are sourced and produced, how companies treat their employees, and the overall effect on the planet and their health. Meanwhile, more entrepreneurs are building for-profit companies that embody sustainable business practices, products, and services. Many consumers want an investment approach that is consistent with the impact objectives they express through their consumption and spending. These shifting expectations are increasingly being reflected in the allocations made in individual accounts, by pension fund trustees, and endowments. Other institutional investors such as foundations have historically employed loans, guarantees, and/or equity to supplement their social or environmental grantmaking activities, and are increasingly directing more and more endowment dollars in a way that is consistent with their stated missions; for example, the Ford Foundation committed \$1 billion from its endowment over ten years to mission-related investments.<sup>2</sup> In addition, regulators, especially in Europe, are raising the bar for investors to pay attention to the full range of risks, opportunities, and externalities of their investments.



<sup>2</sup> Ford Foundation press release, April 2017.

<sup>3</sup> Accenture Consulting. *The "Greater" Wealth Transfer: Capitalizing on the Intergenerational Shift in Wealth*, 2016.

<sup>4</sup> Morgan Stanley. *Sustainable Signals: New Data from the Individual Investor*, August 2017.

<sup>5</sup> Greenwich Associates. *Impact Investing: Institutions Awaken to New Possibilities*, February 16, 2017.

<sup>6</sup> US SIF. *The Forum for Sustainable and Responsible Investments 2016 Trends Report*.

<sup>7</sup> Morningstar. Represents an equally weighted net-of-fee portfolio that includes all funds that meet the following criteria: Morningstar category of Large Blend, Large Growth or Large Value and deemed socially conscious or not by Morningstar. The number of funds that had aggregate Fund assets of at least \$500 million as of September 30, 2017 included in US Active Large Cap - ESG with a 10-year track record was 16 out of 34 and US Active Large Cap - Non ESG with a 10-year track record was 368 out of 727.

<sup>8</sup> Cambridge Associates. *The Value of ESG Data: Early Evidence for Emerging Markets Equities*, October 2016.

## NB ESG INTEGRATION FRAMEWORK

At Neuberger Berman, we believe that material environmental, social, and governance characteristics are an important driver of long-term investment returns from both an opportunity and a risk mitigation perspective. So, we start our approach to total portfolio impact by integrating ESG factors across asset classes in a manner that is tailored for each particular investment strategy in order to better assess the fair value of a security. However, many of our clients want to go a step further: to consider the impact of their portfolios in conjunction with investment performance. Some may seek to invest in best-in-class issuers because they believe this will not only lead to better financial returns, but also amplify improved social and environmental outcomes. Or they may seek to address social or environmental challenges by investing in companies that intentionally generate social and environmental outcomes alongside financial returns. Our ESG Integration framework captures how portfolio managers incorporate these factors at a practical level, as part of their investment strategies.

|  | AVOID*  | ASSESS   | AMPLIFY   | AIM FOR IMPACT   |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| <b>INTEGRATION APPROACH</b>            | Excluding <b>particular companies or whole sectors</b> from investment universe   | Considering the <b>valuation implications of ESG risks and opportunities alongside traditional factors</b> in the investment process   | Focusing on <b>'better' companies</b> based on environmental, social and governance characteristics   | Seeking to <b>intentionally generate social and environmental impact</b> alongside a financial return  |
| <b>NB INVESTMENT STRATEGY EXAMPLES</b> | <p><b>Separately Managed Accounts</b> have customized exclusions based on a client's values</p> <p><b>UCITS</b> avoid companies that produce anti-personnel land mines, cluster munitions, biological/chemical weaponry</p> | <p><b>Emerging Market Debt</b> team assesses the ESG characteristics of sovereign issuers</p> <p><b>Global Non-Investment Grade Credit</b> team incorporates ESG assessment into internal credit ratings</p> | <p><b>Socially Responsive Investing Equities</b> strategy is built on the belief that responsibility is a hallmark of quality and invests in U.S. companies that have a sustainable competitive advantage derived from ESG characteristics such as gender diversity and supply chain integrity</p> <p>Customized Short Duration <b>Investment Grade Credit</b> strategy focuses on 'best-in-class' issuers as well as taking sector bias to lower portfolio carbon footprint and increase diversity vs. the benchmark</p> | <p><b>Municipal Fixed Income Impact</b> strategy invests in projects that support socially and environmentally sustainable communities, such as mass transit, affordable housing, and school classrooms, with a bias toward underserved communities</p> <p><b>Private Markets Impact</b> strategy targets investments with a thematic lens to address the UN Sustainable Development Goals</p> |

\*Avoidance screens can be combined with other ESG integration strategies based on client requests.  
 Note: Investment strategies' ESG integration approaches may evolve over time.

Historically, there has been considerable confusion in the industry around different labels and terminology—responsible versus sustainable versus impact, for example—but ultimately, for investors who care about the impact of their investments, we believe it is important to emphasize outcomes, or the effects on people and the environment, rather than the means to achieve the intended end result or the labels.

# NB IMPACT SPECTRUM

Incorporating impact into asset allocation adds another dimension to portfolio construction. Just as there is a spectrum of asset classes with a range of characteristics such as return, risk, and liquidity, there is a spectrum of how impact can be targeted and manifested across different investments. As an overlay to our ESG Integration framework, we can consider the implications of an investment strategy’s ESG integration approach to the potential social or environmental outcomes on people and the planet that can occur as a result of the investment. This “impact spectrum” of end results or outcomes on people and the planet occurs regardless of whether the investor motivation is financial or values-driven. Reviewing the portfolio as a whole across these four different approaches allows the investor to have a view of their total portfolio impact.

| ESG INTEGRATION APPROACH | SOCIAL / ENVIRONMENTAL OUTCOMES  | EXAMPLES  |
|--------------------------|--|---|
| <b>AVOID</b>             | <b>Avoid certain negative outcomes</b> on people or the planet by excluding companies that significantly contribute to these negative outcomes via their products/services or practices                        | An investor excluding tobacco companies is avoiding exposure to companies that significantly contribute to negative health outcomes   |
| <b>ASSESS</b>            | <b>Seek neutral or positive outcomes, but negative outcomes are possible</b> , given that companies that significantly contribute to negative outcomes could still be invested in at the appropriate valuation | An investor may believe that social and environmental trends are driving a shift away from combustion engine vehicles to electric vehicles and build in these considerations in the valuation of a traditional automobile company. However, at the right market price, the investor may still choose to invest in the company |
| <b>AMPLIFY</b>           | <b>Seek positive outcomes</b> by selecting only companies that have an overall benefit to people or the planet based on ESG characteristics of their products/services or practices                            | For the same automobile example above, the investor may instead seek a best-in-class automobile company that not only has an excellent safety record, but is also heavily investing into the development of electric vehicles, and has industry-leading employment practices that keep workers safe and sustainably employed  |
| <b>AIM FOR IMPACT</b>    | <b>Aim to intentionally generate significant positive outcomes</b> by targeting companies that contribute to solutions to pressing social or environmental challenges via their products/services or practices | An investor may target electric vehicle companies and alternative energy companies with the intent to reduce carbon emissions and address climate change in conjunction with the attractive financial opportunity driven by macro trends  |

In addition to looking at impact at the company or enterprise level via its products/services and practices, the **role of the investor** is also an important driver of determining the overall impact of an investment. For example, by making valuation or capital allocation decisions based on the integrated assessment of ESG factors, the investor is signaling to the market that impact matters. The investor may engage with management to encourage change and improve positive outcomes, which is a *particular focus at Neuberger Berman, especially in our capacity as an active manager across asset classes*. Or, the investor may direct capital to grow a new or undercapitalized company or market, or support system-wide improvements in transparency, reporting, and regulation. All of these actions can act as a contribution to impact to varying degrees.

THE INTEGRATION OF IMPACT INTO PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT NEED NOT BE ALL OR NOTHING, BUT IS MORE LIKELY TO BE A GRADUAL EVOLUTION OF THE PORTFOLIO, ESPECIALLY AS MORE PRODUCTS COME TO MARKET AND INVESTORS BECOME MORE SOPHISTICATED IN THEIR APPROACH.

Investors who care about the impact of their investments within the lens of total portfolio impact can consider investments across the ESG integration spectrum—avoid, assess, amplify, and aim for impact—that will result in a range of negative and positive outcomes for people and the planet.

Often, the ability to maximize positive outcomes may be constrained by the investor’s particular profile, which includes size or liquidity requirements. In addition, given product availability, it may currently be challenging for an investor to maintain a portfolio that is entirely aiming for impact while meeting risk-adjusted return and liquidity requirements. For instance, a retiree, who has expressed an interest in having a positive impact on society through her investments, may have modest wealth and high liquidity needs; a private markets strategy focused on improving financial inclusion in emerging markets may have potential to generate significant impact, but is likely not an appropriate fit for this investor’s portfolio. In contrast, an investment grade fixed income product that invests in companies with best-in-class ESG characteristics, diversified across sectors, could be a better fit for achieving both financial and impact objectives.

Today, investors can begin by defining their impact objectives and analyzing their current portfolios to understand what they own with respect to the level of ESG integration and the corresponding potential social and environmental outcome implications. They can then explore ways to increase their overall total portfolio impact by incorporating ESG or impact objectives into formal policies and selecting managers that integrate ESG considerations into their investment processes. Investors can assess a full range of risks and opportunities and begin swapping in a more “impactful” product (i.e., strategies that amplify best-in-class ESG or aim for impact) within an asset class that achieves the same or better financial results. (And in some instances, certain investors can be more flexible on the expected financial return, risk, or liquidity of an investment in the pursuit of impact—though this would not be our focus as a fiduciary investment manager.) The integration of impact into portfolio management need not be all or nothing, but is more likely to be a gradual evolution of the portfolio, especially as more products come to market and investors become more sophisticated in their approach.

This is an exciting and innovative time for the investment management industry. Investing with additional impact dimensions is a relatively new approach and requires investors to work together to develop shared market infrastructure, especially with regard to impact measurement and management. At Neuberger Berman, we are working collaboratively with industry associations and peers to help shape the future of the industry. We are excited to partner with clients as they embark on their own journeys to increase total portfolio impact.

FOR MORE ABOUT OUR APPROACH TO ESG & IMPACT INVESTING,  
PLEASE VISIT [WWW.NB.COM/ESG](http://WWW.NB.COM/ESG).



**JONATHAN BAILEY**  
HEAD OF ESG & IMPACT  
INVESTING



**JENNIFER SIGNORI**  
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT,  
ESG & IMPACT INVESTING

This material is provided for informational purposes only and nothing herein constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice, or a recommendation to buy, sell or hold a security. This material is general in nature and is not directed to any category of investors and should not be regarded as individualized, a recommendation, investment advice or a suggestion to engage in or refrain from any investment-related course of action. Neuberger Berman is not providing this material in a fiduciary capacity and has a financial interest in the sale of its products and services. Any views or opinions expressed may not reflect those of the firm as a whole. This material may include estimates, outlooks, projections and other "forward-looking statements." Due to a variety of factors, actual events may differ significantly from those presented. Neuberger Berman products and services may not be available in all jurisdictions or to all client types. Diversification does not guarantee profit or protect against loss in declining markets. Investing entails risks, including possible loss of principal. **Past performance is no guarantee of future results.**

The S&P 500 Index consists of 500 stocks chosen for market size, liquidity, and industry group representation. It is a market value weighted index (stock price times number of shares outstanding), with each stock's weight in the Index proportionate to its market value. The "500" is one of the most widely used benchmarks of U.S. equity performance. As of September 16, 2005, S&P switched to a float-adjusted format, which weights only those shares that are available to investors, not all of a company's outstanding shares. The value of the index now reflects the value available in the public markets.

The MSCI Emerging Markets Index is a free float-adjusted market capitalization index that is designed to measure equity market performance of emerging markets. The MSCI Emerging Markets Index consists of the following 24 emerging market country indices: Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, Czech Republic, Egypt, Greece, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Korea, Malaysia, Mexico, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Russia, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey, and the UAE.

Indices are unmanaged, and the figures for the indices shown include reinvestment of all dividends and capital gain distributions and do not reflect any fees or expenses. Investors cannot invest directly in an index.

The information contained herein should not be reproduced or redistributed in whole or in part without the written permission of Neuberger Berman, except as may be required by law or regulation.

Subsidiaries of Neuberger Berman Group LLC include, but are not limited to, Neuberger Berman BD LLC, Neuberger Berman Investment Advisers LLC, NB Alternatives Advisers LLC, Neuberger Berman Trust Company N.A., Neuberger Berman Trust Company of Delaware N.A., Neuberger Berman Europe Ltd., Neuberger Berman Asia Ltd., Neuberger Berman East Asia Ltd., Neuberger Berman Singapore Pte. Ltd., Neuberger Berman Taiwan Ltd., and Neuberger Berman Australia Pty. Ltd.

Neuberger Berman Investment Advisers LLC is a registered Investment Adviser.

The "Neuberger Berman" name and logo are registered service marks of Neuberger Berman Group LLC.

|           |        |
|-----------|--------|
| NEUBERGER | BERMAN |
|-----------|--------|

**Neuberger Berman**  
1290 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10104-0001